Utility of 3’-[18F]fluoro-3’-deoxythymidine as a PET tracer to monitor response to gene therapy in a xenograft model of head and neck carcinoma
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Abstract: Noninvasive imaging methodologies are needed to assess treatment responses to novel molecular targeting approaches for the treatment of squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck (SCCHN). Computer tomography and magnetic resonance imaging do not effectively distinguish tumors from fibrotic tissue commonly associated with SCCHN tumors. Positron emission tomography (PET) offers functional non-invasive imaging of tumors. We determined the uptake of the PET tracers 2-deoxy-2-[18F]fluoro-D-glucose ([18F]FDG) and 3’-[18F]Fluoro-3’-deoxythymidine ([18F]FLT) in several SCCHN xenograft models. In addition, we evaluated the utility of [18F]FLT microPET imaging in monitoring treatment response to an EGFR antisense approach targeted therapy that has shown safety and efficacy in a phase I trial. Two of the 3 SCCHN xenograft models tested demonstrated no appreciable uptake or retention of [18F]FDG, but consistent accumulation of [18F]FLT. The third tumor xenograft SCCHN model (Cal33) demonstrated variable uptake of both tracers. SCCHN xenografts (1483) treated with EGFR antisense gene therapy decreased tumor volumes in 4/6 mice. Reduced uptake of [18F]FLT was observed in tumors that responded to epidermal growth factor receptor antisense (EGFRAS) gene therapy compared to non-responding tumors or tumors treated with control sense plasmid DNA. These findings indicate that [18F]FLT PET imaging may be useful in monitoring SCCHN response to molecular targeted therapies, while [18F]FDG uptake in SCCHN xenografts may not be reflective of the level of metabolic activity characteristic of human SCCHN tumors.
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Introduction

Squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck (SCCHN) is the most common malignancy arising in the upper aerodigestive tract. With multimodality treatment (surgery, radiation, and chemotherapy), only 50% of the patients survive their primary tumor. Once the initial tumor is eradicated, patients develop a second primary cancer in the aerodigestive tract at a rate of 5% per year. The complex anatomy of the head and neck region combined with the low sensitivity of physical examination and anatomic imaging modalities [including computer-assisted tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)] often obscure the detection of residual or recurrent disease. Prior studies have reported that post-radiation effects cannot be reliably distinguished from post-surgical fibrosis or tumor using CT or MRI [1, 2]. Positron emission tomography (PET) is a non-invasive imaging technique that relies on differential uptake of a radiolabeled molecule or retention of a radiolabeled metabolite that becomes preferentially concentrated in target tissue. The radiotracer 2-deoxy-2-[18F]fluoro-D-glucose ([18F]FDG) is widely used in the clinical management of SCCHN to identify the primary tumor, detect metastases, and evaluate the response of radiation therapy [3].

[18F]FDG PET is avidly taken up by primary and metastatic SCCHN tumors in humans, but may be unable to distinguish persistent SCCHN
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tumor from the effects of prior surgical resection and/or radiation therapy [4, 5]. 3’-[18F] Fluoro-3’-deoxythymidine ([18F]FLT) is a PET tracer for which the rate of accumulation may be a useful in vivo marker of cellular proliferation rates, and may have an advantage over [18F]FDG PET in the monitoring of SCCHN therapy [6, 7]. While [18F]FDG PET is widely utilized in the clinical management of a variety of cancers, preclinical animal models of many human carcinomas have failed to demonstrate consistent uptake of [18F]FDG in xenografted tumors [8-10]. Two previous studies utilized SCCHN xenograft models and [18F]FDG. In one phosphor imaging-based study that utilized a cell line (LU-HNxSCC-7) which originated from a primary untreated SCCHN tumor, higher levels of [18F]FDG uptake (MBq/g tumor tissue) in hypermetabolism foci were noted. However, this effect was diminished when larger tumor volumes representative of an imaging region of interest (ROI) were examined [11]. The second study utilized the HNX-OE cell line and examined therapy response following radiotherapy demonstrated a decline in tumor to normal tissue measures of [18F]FDG uptake. However, the accumulation of [18F]FDG was characterized as relatively low and considerable variability in FDG uptake was observed before and after radiotherapy in these studies [12]. The present study was undertaken to examine and compare the dynamics of both [18F]FDG and [18F]FLT in preclinical murine models of SCCHN, and determine their utility in evaluating response to molecular targeted strategies in this cancer.

Cumulative evidence suggests that transcriptional activation and gene amplification of the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) leads to over-expression of EGFR mRNA and protein in SCCHN, and EGFR overexpression contributes to tumor progression and adverse outcome [13]. We previously reported a significant inhibition of the growth of SCCHN tumor xenografts treated with EGFR antisense DNA plus liposomes, compared to controls [14]. Toxicity studies demonstrate that adverse effects were restricted to self-limited inflammation at the injection site [15]. Further, we reported that EGFR antisense plasmid DNA is effectively incorporated into HNSCC xenograft tumor tissue [16]. Although we were unable to detect integration of the plasmid DNA, mRNA transcript of the EGFR antisense construct under the U6 promoter was detected in xenograft tumors. A phase I clinical trial was completed using direct intratumoral injection of an EGFR antisense gene in patients with advanced SCCHN achieving a clinical response rate of 29% [17]. Although there was no saline or scrambled control treated patient tumors, EGFR antisense treatment reduced the levels of EGFR compared to baseline levels in treated tumors.

Clinical management can be enhanced by techniques that assess in vivo proliferation rates. PET imaging utilizing a suitable radiotracer may represent a non-invasive method of evaluating clinical responses to molecular targeting strategies. In the present study, we evaluated the use of PET imaging in pre-clinical animal models of SCCHN. Since patients with SCCHN tumors demonstrate avid uptake of [18F]FDG in their tumors, we assessed the uptake of [18F]FDG and [18F]FLT in human SCCHN tumors grown as xenografts in athymic nude mice and evaluated the ability of [18F]FLT to monitor SCCHN response to EGFR antisense gene therapy.

Materials and methods

Cell lines and reagents

Human head and neck cancer cell lines 1483 and Cal 33 were maintained in DMEM + 10% fetal bovine serum and OSC19 in MEM+1% non-essential amino acids + 10% fetal bovine serum at 37°C in a 5% CO2 incubator as described previously [18, 19]. Plasmid DNA pNGVL-1-U6-EGFRAS (antisense) and pNGVL-1-U6-EGFRS (sense) plasmid DNA was amplified in competent DH5a cells (Invitrogen Corporation, Carlsbad, CA) and purified using the Hurricane Maxi Prep kit according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Gerard Biotech, Oxford, OH) [14, 16]. Plasmid DNA was suspended in sterile water, the concentration estimated and then diluted to 0.5µg/µl in sterile saline for in vivo injections. Antibodies used for immunoblotting of thymidine kinase-1 was obtained from Abcam (Cambridge, MA). Hexokinase-II and β-Actin antibodies were obtained from Cell Signaling Technologies (Danvers, MA), respectively. The EGFR specific antibody was obtained from BD Biosciences (San Jose, CA).
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SCCHN 1483 (one million cells/site) tumors were established subcutaneously on the left and right shoulders (2 tumors/shoulder/mouse) of female athymic nude mice between the ages of 5 and 6 weeks (Harlan Sprague Dawley Inc., Indianapolis, Indiana). PET imaging was performed prior to treatment initiation (pre) and after 3 weeks of treatment (post). Tumors were measured with a vernier caliper in 2 perpendicular dimensions and the volumes were determined as previously described [20]. Animals were initially anesthetized by induction with isoflurane (5%) and maintained on 0.5-1.5% isoflurane in a 60/40 mixture of medical air/oxygen administered by nose cone. Body temperature was maintained throughout the experiment with a thermodiagnostic heating pad. All animals were allowed free access to food and water prior to the imaging studies and were studied with both $^{[18]}$FDG and $^{[18]}$FLT. The order of administration ($^{[18]}$FDG and $^{[18]}$FLT was randomized to preclude any systemic carry-over effect and the second tracer administration and scan session was performed within 24 hours of the initial PET scan session (17-24 hours). Animals were placed in a P4 scanner (Concorde Microsystems, Knoxville, TN) in pairs and injected with 4.81-7.41 MBq of either $^{[18]}$FDG or $^{[18]}$FLT via the lateral tail vein. The intrinsic volumetric resolution (at the center of the field of view) for the small animal camera used in these studies is 8 mm$^3$. In initial studies, a 90 min dynamic microPET acquisition was performed following radiotracer injection. In subsequent studies, a static 30 min image was acquired one hour post-injection of the radiotracer. PET emission data were reconstructed using 2-D filtered back projection with a ramp filter. Due to the small mass of the animals, data were not corrected for attenuation or scatter. Volumes of interest (VOI) were defined over 3-4 contiguous planes for each tumor. Standardized uptake values (SUV) were determined using the formula: SUV= (VOI activity x body mass)/ injected activity. Total proliferative volume (TPV) was calculated by multiplying the total tumor volume and mean tumor SUV for each tumor pre- and post-EGFR antisense or sense gene therapy treatment. All procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at the University of Pittsburgh (Protocol Number 1002592A-2).

**EGFR antisense gene therapy**

Approximately $10^6$ SCCHN cells were implanted subcutaneously on the right and left shoulders (2 tumors/mouse) of female nude mice (n=6). When the tumors were established and reached a diameter of 3-4 mm (< 25 mm$^3$), baseline $^{[18]}$FLT and/or $^{[18]}$FDG PET images were obtained. Tumor bearing mice were treated with intratumoral injections of plasmid DNA (either EGFR sense or antisense), daily (5 days a week) at 25 μg/tumor (1.25 mg/kg body weight) for 20 days. Since each animal had 2 tumors, one tumor was injected with the EGFR antisense DNA and the other tumor was injected with EGFR sense DNA. Additional PET images were collected post-treatment. PET imaging was performed as described above (In vivo PET imaging of SCCHN xenograft tumors). Following imaging, anaesthetized mice were sacrificed by cervical dislocation and tumors were excised.

**Immunohistochemistry**

Four micron thick sections of paraffin-fixed tumor samples from the EGFR antisense-alone and EGFR sense-alone treatment groups were removed and adhered to slides by heating overnight at 57°C in a dry slide incubator. The specimens were deparaffinized and rehydrated by a series 5 min sequential washes in xylene, ethanol and 95% ethanol. Slides were placed in a 1:10 diluted mixture of methanol/H$_2$O$_2$ for 15 min at room temperature and then rinsed two times with distilled water. Antigen retrieval was performed by heating uncovered slides in boiling citrate buffer for ten min. Slides were allowed to cool at room temperature for one hour and blocked in PBS/Tween buffer for 25 min at room temperature. The blocking solution was drained and slides incubated in monoclonal Ki-67 primary antibody at room temperature for one hour. The antibody is well suited to detection of the 345 and 395 kD isoforms of Ki-67 in paraffin embedded tissue. Slides were rinsed with phosphate buffered saline (PBS)/Tween® for five min. Secondary antibody was added in dilution ratio of 1:2000 and slides incubated in a humidified chamber for 30 min at room temperature. Slides were rinsed with PBS/Tween® for five min and incubated in avidin-biotin complex (ABC) for 30 min in a humidified chamber. Afterwards, the slides were then rinsed again in PBS/Tween® for 5 min, incubated in diaminobenzidine (DAB) for 5-10 min.
and rinsed with distilled water. Slides were counterstained in Shandon Hematoxylin for 3 minutes, rinsed with distilled water, PBS, xylene, various concentrations of ethanol and cover-slipped. A dark brown staining of the nuclei indicated positive Ki-67 staining. The cells with dark brown nuclei were counted under 200X magnification by 2 individuals who were blinded to the treatment groups. Positive cells in 4-5 separate fields were counted for each slide.

Assessment [18F]FLT uptake and metabolism in SCCHN xenografts

Athymic nude mice with SCCHN xenografts (Cal 33) were administered a bolus injection of 4.81-7.41 MBq of [18F]FLT via the lateral tail vein. The animals were sacrificed ninety minutes post-injection by cervical dislocation and tumors were excised. Plasma and tumor samples were analyzed for the presence of [18F]FLT metabolites using reverse-phase HPLC utilizing a modification of previously reported methods [21]. Plasma samples were deproteinated with ice-cold acetonitrile (2 x volume of plasma) followed by centrifugation at 4°C (3000 x g, 5 min). The resultant supernatant was concentrated under a stream of nitrogen at 4°C, suspended in HPLC mobile phase and filtered using a 0.2 micron filter prior to HPLC analysis. Samples of tumor were cut into small pieces and homogenized (Polytron PT1200-c) with ice-cold acetonitrile. The tissue homogenate was then centrifuged at 4°C (3000 x g, 5 min). The resultant supernatant was then treated in a manner identical to the plasma samples. The analytical HPLC system consisted of a Phenomenex Prodigy ODS-3 5 micron (4.6 x 250 mm) column eluted with 10% ethanol/phosphate buffered saline at a flow of 1.5 mL/min. The percentages of unmetabolized [18F]FLT and phosphorylated metabolite (5'-monophosphorylated analog) were determined by the integration of radioactive peaks detected using a flow-through HPLC NaI detector (Raytest GABI system).

Statistical analyses

The total tumor volume, standardized uptake values (SUV) and total proliferative volume (TPV) are summarized using descriptive statistics and their post-treatment evolution over time are shown graphically using line plots. Post-treatment changes (at week 20) were compared between the EGFR antisense- and EGFR sense-treated tumors using paired exact Wilcoxon sign rank tests. The rate of change in total volume over time between the two groups determine by fitting a linear model using generalized estimating equations. All analyses were conducted using R programming language [22].

Results

[18F]FLT, but not [18F]FDG, is taken up by SCCHN xenografts

Since the majority of human SCCHN tumors demonstrate avid [18F]FDG uptake, we hypothesized that cell lines derived from SCCHN patient tumors should similarly demonstrate incorporation of [18F]FDG in xenografts. In addition, we hypothesized that xenograft tumors derived from SCCHN cell lines may be imaged successfully using the radiolabeled thymidine analogue [18F]FLT. Surprisingly, the SUVs of [18F]FDG in the 1483 (mean ± SD = 0.97 ± 0.08), OSC 19 (1.07 ± 0.10) or Cal 33 (0.99 ± 0.08) SCCHN cell lines are all approximately 1 and thus do not indicate any selective localization of [18F]FDG in the SCCHN xenografts (Table 1).

Uptake of [18F]FDG was greatest in the heart and brain and elevated in other peripheral tissues. In contrast, time-activity curves following [18F]FLT injection show consistent accumulation in 1483, OSC19 and Cal 33 tumors, negligible uptake in brain, and an otherwise uniform

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell Line</th>
<th>n*</th>
<th>[18F]FLT</th>
<th>[18F]FDG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1483</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.18 (0.39)</td>
<td>1.83 - 2.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSC19</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.36 (0.28)</td>
<td>1.10 - 1.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cal 33</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.31 (0.26)</td>
<td>1.03 - 2.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n* refers to the number of tumors included in the average (multiple tumors per animal).
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While the SCCHN cell lines examined were not generally [18F]FDG avid, all of the SCCHN cell lines examined in this work demonstrated tumor uptake of [18F]FLT (Figure 1). Thus, the cell lines tested were imaged with [18F]FLT in subsequent studies.

**Figure 1.** microPET imaging of SCCHN tumor xenografts demonstrate uptake of [18F]FLT and [18F]FDG. Representative summed microPET images taken 40-90 min, post-injection of radiotracer in mice bearing 1483, OSC19 or Cal 33 tumors with arrows indicating the location of the tumor. The uptake was assessed 2-3 times with each tumor type.

Hexokinase II and thymidine kinase-1 levels in SCCHN cell lines do not correlate with uptake of [18F]FDG or [18F]FLT

In general, [18F]FDG accumulates in tissues with high glycolytic activity while [18F]FLT accumulates in proliferating cells. Tumor uptake of [18F]FLT occurs via both passive and facilitated transport by sodium dependent carriers [23]. [18F]FDG enters the cells via the glucose transporters GLUT-1 and GLUT-3 located on the cell
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membrane [24]. Once inside the cell, both tracers are phosphorylated ([18F]FDG by hexokinase and [18F]FLT by thymidine kinase) rendering them essentially cell membrane impermeable and trapping the radioactivity inside the cells. Levels of hexokinase II and thymidine kinase proteins have been reported to correlate with enzyme activity [25, 26]. In most cases, the concentration of phosphorylated tracers within carcinoma cells increases with time and plateaus approximately 90 minutes post-tracer administration. In order to determine the levels of these key enzymes (hexokinase II and thymidine kinase) in SCCHN cells, we carried out immunoblotting analyses of SCCHN cell lysates from the cell lines examined (1483, OSC19, and Cal 33). In these studies, while these SCCHN cell lines demonstrated hexokinase II expression (Figure 2), none of the cell lines were consistently [18F]FDG avid (Figure 1). In addition, levels of thymidine kinase-1 were examined and found to not correspond with uptake of [18F]FLT in these SCCHN xenografts (Figure 1 and 2). We examined the levels of EGFR across Cal33, 1483 and OSC19. All 3 lines expressed EGFR protein (Figure 2).

Effect of EGFR antisense gene therapy on SCCHN xenograft growth and proliferation

Previous reports in human lung tumors demonstrate a correlation between increased tumor growth and uptake of [18F]FLT [27, 28]. Inhibition of the EGFR signaling axis in preclinical models has been previously reported to reduce survival, tumor cell proliferation and increase apoptosis in tumors [29]. We previously demonstrated that liposome-mediated delivery of EGFR antisense gene reduces SCCHN xenograft tumor growth [14, 30]. Further, EGFR antisense effectively reduced EGFR levels in tumors. Since the EGFR antisense gene was administered without lipids in the phase I clinical trial, we assessed the antitumor efficacy of EGFR antisense plasmid DNA when administered via intratumoral injections without a lipid carrier in our xenograft model. The purpose of these
studies was to determine if PET imaging could be used to monitor response to EGFR antisense gene therapy. Intratumoral administration of EGFR antisense gene therapy reduced growth in 4 of 6 SCCHN xenograft tumors in the absence of a liposome carrier (Figure 3A). The overall antisense treated tumor volumes were not significantly different from sense-treated tumor volumes of the opposite flank (day 20 signed rank P = 0.22). However, there was a significant reduction in the rate of tumor growth in mice treated with the EGFR antisense sense (approximately 22 mm$^3$ per day) compared with EGFR sense control (approximately 41 mm$^3$ per day) treated tumors (P=0.03).

Previous reports in human lung tumors demonstrate a correlation between increased expression of Ki-67 and uptake of $^{[18F]}$FLT [25, 26]. Inhibition of the EGFR signaling axis in preclinical models has been previously reported to reduce survival, tumor cell proliferation and increase apoptosis in tumors [27]. In this study we examined xenograft tumor cell proliferation in EGFR antisense DNA injected intratumorally without a lipid carrier. As shown in Figure 3B, tumors treated with EGFR antisense plasmid DNA demonstrated fewer Ki-67 positive cells compared to tumors administered the EGFR sense control plasmid DNA (p=0.0001).

$^{[18F]}$FLT PET imaging correlates with response to EGFR antisense gene therapy

Previous studies have reported reduced uptake of $^{[18F]}$FLT in tumors as early as 24 h post-treatment, before changes in tumor volume become apparent [31, 32]. In order to assess early changes in SCCHN proliferation, we assessed $^{[18F]}$FLT uptake in SCCHN xenograft tumors three days post-treatment and at the end of the study (20 days of treatment). EGFR antisense gene therapy compared to the sense control did not reduce the tumor volumes of mice after 3 days of treatment (data not shown). Since each mouse was implanted with two tumors (one treated with EGFR antisense gene therapy and the second with EGFR sense control plasmid), post-treatment changes in the ratio of the total proliferative volume (TPV = total tumor volume X mean tumor SUV) and total tumor volume between the EGFR antisense (treated) and EGFR sense (control) tumors within an animal are indicative of the treatment effects of the EGFR antisense gene therapy (Table 2). In the 1483 xenograft model, a decrease in median tumor volume was achieved in 4 of 6 tumors treated with the EGFR antisense plasmid compared to EGFR sense control treatment over a 20-day treatment regimen (P=0.22). Throughout the course of the experiment,
increases in tumor volumes ranging from 8 to 71 times the initial tumor volume were observed for EGFR sense control tumors. By comparison, the EGFR antisense treated tumor volumes increased over the course of the study by only 2.5-12.9 times the initial tumor volumes. In 2/6 animals (B1 and B2), there was an increase in the volume of EGFR antisense treated tumor when compared to the corresponding sense control tumor (Figure 4A). Thus, the TPV ratio of EGFR antisense versus EGFR sense treated tumors was below 1 in 4 responder mice and above 1 in 2 non-responder mice post-treatment (Figure 4B). A decrease in TPV was observed in 5 of 6 tumors treated with the EGFR antisense plasmid compared to EGFR sense control treatment (P=0.09) and the mean (SD) TPV ratio between the EGFR antisense treated tumors and EGFR sense control treated and tumors was 1.24 (0.64) in the initial pre-treatment evaluation and 0.58 (0.46) in the post-treatment evaluation. This decrease in the average TPV ratio represents an increase in the total proliferative volume in the sense control treated tumors when compared to the antisense treated tumors (Figure 4B). Animals (B1 and B2) that did not respond to treatment demonstrate higher TPV ratios post-treatment, indicating a positive correlation between tumor size (volume) and uptake of the tracer. Thus, [18F]FLT PET imaging accurately measured the response to treatment.

[18F]FLT metabolite is retained in SCCHN xenografts

Analysis of rodent plasma samples demonstrated that >98% of the radioactivity present in the blood corresponded to intact [18F]FLT (data not shown), in accordance with previously published data [21]. Approximately 40% of the radioactivity in the xenograft tumor was recovered following homogenization, deproteination and filtration prior to HPLC. The analytical HPLC analyses demonstrated that 40-60% of the radioactivity that was extracted from the tumors was in the form of the 5’-monophosphorylated analog of [18F]FLT. While these results are qualitative in nature (owing to the inefficiency of the tumor extraction and partial recovery of activity upon redissolving in HPLC mobile phase prior to analysis), they do demonstrate [18F]FLT metabolism in these xenografts.

Discussion

The most commonly utilized parameter in clinical [18F]FDG PET studies is the standardized uptake value (SUV). Recently, the use of overall tumor volume (TV) has been explored as a more sensitive index for prognosis and therapy response assessment [33, 34]. Other outcome measures for these types of studies have been explored including total glycolytic volume (TGV) and total proliferative volume (TPV) (for [18F]FDG and [18F]FLT, respectively), which are defined as the product of total tumor volume and mean tumor SUV [33]. [18F]FDG uptake has been previously reported in several tumor types including SCCHN [35], and has been useful for locoregional staging of SCCHN as well as for detection of distant of synchronous second primary tumors [36, 37]. Several factors affecting [18F]FDG uptake in SCCHN tumors include the presence of hypoxic regions within the tumor and the tumor grade [38]. The effect of hypoxia on the accumulation of tracers utilized in this study ([18F]FDG and [18F]FLT) is not completely clear. Some in vitro studies would indicate that the uptake of FDG increases in hypoxic tumor cells (whether via increased membrane transport or the impact of enhanced FDG phosphorylation) [39]. Another study of single-dose radiotherapy effects in human squamous cell carcinoma xenograft claims that [18F]FDG

### Table 2. Tumor volume and TPV values for each animal post treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Animal ID</th>
<th>Tumor Volume (mm$^3$)</th>
<th>Sense</th>
<th>Antisense</th>
<th>TPV</th>
<th>Sense</th>
<th>Antisense</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>509.012</td>
<td>312.666</td>
<td>414.85</td>
<td>206.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>769.147</td>
<td>320.0</td>
<td>626.86</td>
<td>210.88</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3</td>
<td>1400.773</td>
<td>196.520</td>
<td>1147.3</td>
<td>128.91</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1</td>
<td>651.301</td>
<td>669.272</td>
<td>530.82</td>
<td>441.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2</td>
<td>435.938</td>
<td>759.027</td>
<td>358.55</td>
<td>500.19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B5</td>
<td>912.384</td>
<td>359.528</td>
<td>743.59</td>
<td>237.19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
uptake is constant in tumor necrosis over time, while uptake of $^{18}$F-FDG decreased in vital tumor area and particularly in hypoxic regions. This study demonstrated no differences in $^{18}$F-FDG uptake between area of tumors that are or are not stained with Ki-67 or with BrdU and the authors claimed that SUVmax does not necessarily reflect changes in tumor biology after irradiation [40]. Ex vivo autoradiography studies in a rodent model of cancer demonstrated good correlation between areas of hypoxia (as evidenced by $^{18}$F-FMISO and [Cu-64]ATSM uptake) and areas of proliferation ($^{18}$F-FLT). However, the correlation between areas of glucose utilization ($^{18}$F-FDG) and hypoxia ([Cu-64]ATSM) was very poor [41]. In patients with non-small-cell lung cancer, a small study demonstrated a weak correlation between uptake associated with proliferation ($^{18}$F-FLT) and uptake associated with hypoxia ($^{18}$F-FMISO) [42].

$^{18}$F-FDG PET imaging has also been used in the clinical management of SCCHN to identify the primary tumor and evaluate the response to radiation therapy [43]. In this study we examined the uptake of $^{18}$F-FDG in three SCCHN xenograft models and demonstrated that although these preclinical models express hexokinase II, there was no appreciable uptake of $^{18}$F-FDG in the xenografted tumors. A possible explanation for the lack of significant uptake of $^{18}$F-FDG by these SCCHN xenografts may be related to blood glucose levels in these animals at the time of the scan. In one study fasting for 8-12 hours prior to administration of $^{18}$F-FDG led to significantly higher tumor uptake in a xenograft model (4-fold) when compared to animals with free access to food [44]. However, there are conflicting reports regarding the correlation between fasting and $^{18}$F-FDG uptake in animal models of cancer. Dandekar, et al. demonstrated reasonable reproducibility in serial FDG scans performed 6 hours apart in mouse tumor xenografts B16F10 murine melanoma even though there was a significant difference in mean blood glucose levels (91.3 ± 25.3 mg/dL vs. 58.1 ± 20.1 mg/dL) between the two serial scans [45]. Serial $^{18}$F-FDG scans (6 h
apart) in C6 rat glioma xenografts also demonstrated reasonable reproducibility [44]. However, we were unable to detect significant [18F]FDG uptake in the SCCHN xenografts tested in animals without fasting and animals fasted for 8 h (data not shown). Reports suggest that several SCCHN cell lines, including SCC-4, HNX-0E, FaDu and Cal27, utilized in animal models incorporate [18F]FDG [12, 46, 47]. While SCCHN patients are routinely evaluated using [18F]FDG, it would seem that only certain SCCHN lines can be imaged using [18F]FDG successfully when implanted into immunodeficient mice.

[18F]FLT is a PET radiotracer that has been utilized to image various tumor types including lung carcinoma [48]. Although [18F]FLT accumulation in the tumor occurs following phosphorylation by thymidine kinase-1 (TK-1), TK-1 activity does not correlate directly with tumor cell proliferation since [18F]FLT uptake is 3-4 times higher in malignant cells than in proliferating benign cells [49]. Previous reports demonstrated the incorporation of phosphorylated FLT into DNA [49, 50]. Recently, attenuation of [18F]FLT uptake on TK-1 siRNA treatment in SCCHN was reported in A431 cells and in the SCCHN cell line SCC1 [51]. A variety of issues arise that complicate the interpretation of these data. The contribution of partial volume effects in these relatively small tumors (especially at baseline), as well as the inability to assess the potential contribution of infiltration of the administered doses and thus accurately determine the SUV measures, contribute to variability in the PET SUV outcome measures. A major limitation of small animal PET imaging studies, particularly in mice imaging studies, is the underestimation of the true radioactivity concentration due to partial volume blurring. This effect arises as a consequence of the limited volume resolution of PET detectors. As illustrated by Mannheim and colleagues [52], the recovery of radioactivity from small image features (< 5 mm diameter), may be 20% or lower using a high-resolution preclinical PET scanner, depending on the contrast ratio between the feature to background. A recovery of 20% would result in a 5-fold underestimation of SUV. SUV’s of 1 or even less than 1 are not uncommon in mouse studies [53, 54]. Additional confounders in the interpretation of this data relate to the varying amounts of necrosis present in the tumors at the time of analysis and the relatively small number of animals utilized for the longitudinal studies. However, we were able to demonstrate a positive trend in overall proliferative status (as measured by total proliferative volume) in xenograft tumor models following EGFR antisense therapy. Our data corroborated these findings showing reduced [18F]FLT uptake in tumors with fewer proliferating cells. It should be noted that this difference is driven by both changes in total tumor volume and [18F]FLT SUV. The mean SUV ratio in the antisense treated tumors measured pre- and post-treatment was 1.04 +/-0.21, denoting no significant change in SUV value.

Several studies reported that targeting EGFR in SCCHN reduces proliferation [14, 55]. In a recently concluded phase I trial, antisense gene therapy targeting EGFR was well tolerated in SCCHN patients [17]. Further, a 29% clinical response was observed after EGFR antisense gene therapy with reduced uptake of [18F]FDG in SCCHN patients. Previous reports demonstrate that EGFR levels determined by immunohistochemistry do not predict responsiveness to EGFR targeted therapies [56]. However, the degree of EGFR downregulation post treatment is a predictor of treatment response [17]. In this study, we examined the efficacy of PET in monitoring response to EGFR antisense gene therapy in animal models. Our data demonstrate that [18F]FLT preferentially localizes to SCCHN xenograft tumors and the uptake is attenuated in tumors that respond to EGFR antisense treatment. In a recent report, treatment of SCCHN xenograft tumors with either a small molecule EGFR inhibitor or an anti-EGFR therapeutic antibody reduced [18F]FLT uptake as early as 72 h after the initiation of treatment [51]. Small molecule inhibitors and anti-EGFR antibodies prevent receptor activation and thus elicit rapid antitumor effects. However, clinical trials demonstrate relatively low clinical response rates (5-15%) to single agent small molecule inhibitors or anti-EGFR antibodies in recurrent/metastatic SCCHN [57, 58]. Targeting EGFR by reducing its total protein levels as opposed to blocking EGFR tyrosine kinase activity may be an improved approach to overcome resistance to existing EGFR inhibitors.

While [18F]FDG is widely utilized in clinical oncology applications, we were unable to consistently detect uptake of [18F]FDG in our model systems. This discrepancy points to a potential
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Table 3. Reports in literature pertaining to uptake of $[^{18}\text{F}]$FLT and/or $[^{18}\text{F}]$FDG in xenograft tumor models

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Xenograft model</th>
<th>$[^{18}\text{F}]$FDG uptake</th>
<th>$[^{18}\text{F}]$FLT uptake</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LNCAP (prostate cancer)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Not studied</td>
<td>[67]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CWR22 (prostate cancer)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Not studied</td>
<td>[68]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RH-30 (rhabdomyosarcoma)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Not studied</td>
<td>[69]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GBM (glioblastoma)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[70]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HNX-OE (SCCHN)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>[12]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SKMEL-28 (melanoma)</td>
<td>Not studied</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>[71]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U87 MG (glioblastoma)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Not studied</td>
<td>[72]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panc420 (pancreatic cancer)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Not studied</td>
<td>[73]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panc 194 (pancreatic cancer)</td>
<td>+/-</td>
<td>Not studied</td>
<td>[73]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panc 140 (pancreatic cancer)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Not studied</td>
<td>[73]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panc 1 (pancreatic cancer)</td>
<td>+/-</td>
<td>Not studied</td>
<td>[73]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BxPC 3 (pancreatic cancer)</td>
<td>+/-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>[73, 74]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT474 (breast carcinoma)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[75]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCT116 (colorectal carcinoma)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>[76, 77]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCA7 (colorectal carcinoma)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>[76]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daudi (B-cell lymphoma)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>[78]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIST (gastrointestinal stromal tumor)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Not studied</td>
<td>[79]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E98 DIPG (glioma)</td>
<td>Not studied</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[80]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SK-N-SH (neuroblastoma)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>[81]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PancTul (pancreatic cancer)</td>
<td>Low uptake</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>[74]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colo357</td>
<td>Low uptake</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>[74]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H586 (lung cancer)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>[77]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KB31 (cervical cancer)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>[77]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDA-MB-435 (breast cancer)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Not studied</td>
<td>[82]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Limitation of murine xenograft models with respect to non-invasive PET imaging and $[^{18}\text{F}]$FDG and supports the use of direct human studies when $[^{18}\text{F}]$FDG imaging is to be used as an endpoint. $[^{18}\text{F}]$FLT PET imaging provides important information on proliferation that may prove beneficial in the utilization of PET imaging in clinical applications. The ability of $[^{18}\text{F}]$FLT PET imaging to provide information regarding the efficacy of EGFR antisense gene therapy in xenograft SCCHN tumor models illustrates the potential utility of this imaging application. Another application of PET imaging involves EGFR-directed tracers that could be used to measure the levels of EGFR being expressed in tumors. Radiolabeled anti-EGFR antibodies directed against EGFR are currently being tested in preclinical models [59–61]. Future studies could incorporate this biologic imaging strategy to identify possible responders and monitor response to EGFR-targeted therapies with $[^{18}\text{F}]$FLT PET imaging.

A review of published reports that utilized either $[^{18}\text{F}]$FDG or $[^{18}\text{F}]$FLT in preclinical murine models of cancer demonstrate a large variability in utility of non-invasive PET imaging in these types of models (Table 3). In approximately twenty xenograft tumor models and six orthotopic murine tumor models where $[^{18}\text{F}]$FDG was utilized in a preclinical imaging paradigm, $[^{18}\text{F}]$FDG uptake was either not visible or the imaging results were not indicative of therapy response in 15 of these models (62%). The number of models that utilized $[^{18}\text{F}]$FLT in a preclinical imaging paradigm were smaller (13 studies) and here the number of studies where $[^{18}\text{F}]$FLT uptake was either not visible of not
indicative of therapy response was still a relatively high percentage (46%). These results as well as the inability to consistently visualize [18F]FDG uptake in the xenograft model(s) we examined point to the necessity to individually qualify murine xenograft tumor models as useful in preclinical imaging paradigms that utilize molecular imaging tracers such as [18F]FDG or [18F]FLT. Whether these failures of non-invasive imaging studies in these xenograft models are a result of tumor perfusion, transport or phosphorylation enzyme levels or activity in murine models, partial volume effects, animal handling issues or some combination of all of these are unclear. While a few studies have provided direct comparisons of [18F]FDG and [18F]FLT in lung cancer [62, 63] and esophageal cancer [64, 65], there is much less information on the comparative utility of these two PET tracers in primary head and neck cancer in the clinical setting [66]. The results of the present study suggests that larger direct comparison clinical trials are needed to assess the comparative utility of [18F]FDG and [18F]FLT in human head and neck cancer.
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